

THE TWO THE TW

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/084,813	02/27/2002	Carl Saxinger	215875	6159	
45733 75	90 11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
LEYDIG, VOIT & MAYER, LTD.			PARKIN, JEFFREY S		
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA SUITE 4900			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60601			1648		
			DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/084,813	SAXINGER, CARL			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.	1648			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ju	<u>ıne 2004</u> .				
, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		-			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21,60 and 70-85 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21,60 and 70-85 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Everiner			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)	,	(/DTO 413)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other: Notice to Co	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Serial No.: 10/084,813 Docket No.: 215875
Applicant: Saxinger, C. Filing Date: 02/27/02

Response to Amendment

Status of the Claims

Acknowledgement is hereby made of receipt and entry of the amendment filed 29 June, 2004, wherein claims 1-20 and 22-59 were canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, claim 21 amended, and new claims 70-85 submitted. Claims 21, 60, and 70-85 are currently under examination.

37 C.F.R. § 1.821

sequence disclosures that This application contains encompassed by the definitions for nucleotide and/or amino acid sequences set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(a)(1) and (a)(2) (e.g., see p. 3 of the disclosure). However, this application fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 through 1.825 for the reason(s) set forth below or on the attached Notice To Comply With Requirements For Patent Applications Containing Nucleotide Sequence And/Or Amino Acid Sequence Disclosures. are reminded that sequences appearing in Applicants specification and/or drawings must be identified by a sequence identifier (SEO ID NO.:) in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(d). Sequence identifiers for sequences appearing in the drawings may appear in the Brief Description of the Drawings. Applicant must provide appropriate amendments to the specification and/or drawings inserting the required sequence identifiers. Extensive amendments may necessitate the submission of a substitute specification.

35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 21, 60, and 70-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112,

as being indefinite for failing second paragraph, particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter Two separate as the invention. applicant regards requirements are set forth under this statute: (1) the claims must set forth the subject matter that applicants regard as their invention; and (2) the claims must particularly point out and distinctly define the metes and bounds of the subject matter that will be protected by the patent grant. The claims include the limitation "substantially identical" to the human CCR5 receptor sequence. However, the precise metes and bounds of the patent protection desired cannot be ascertained from this claim language. For instance, do the claims encompass single or multiple amino acid substitutions, additions, and deletions? How does the skilled artisan determine if they have a protein that is encompassed by the claim language? Appropriate correction is required.

35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. § 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

New Matter

Claims 21, 60, and 70-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In re Rasmussen, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 U.S.P.Q.

323 (C.C.P.A. 1981). In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 (C.C.P.A. 1976). The claims include the limitation wherein the polypeptide of interest comprises "less than 100 amino acid residues" that are identical to the human CCR5 chemokine receptor. Perusal of the disclosure fails to provide support for the claimed limitation. However, the specification does provide support for polypeptides that comprise less than 100 contiguous amino acids. Appropriate correction is required.

Written Description

Claims 21, 60, and 70-85 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. In re Rasmussen, 650 F.2d 1212, 211 U.S.P.Q. 323 (C.C.P.A. 1981). In re Wertheim, 541 F.2d 257, 191 U.S.P.Q. 90 (C.C.P.A. 1976). In re Rochester, 358 F.3d 916, 69 U.S.P.Q.2d 1886 (C.A.F.C. 2004). The claims are directed toward human CCR5 receptor polypeptides comprising "up to 6 conservative or neutral amino acid substitutions".

To satisfy the written description requirement, a patent specification must describe the claimed invention in sufficient detail that one skilled in the art can reasonably conclude that the inventor had possession of the claimed invention. See, e.g., Vas-Cath, Inc., v. Mahurkar, 935 F.2d at 1563, 19 U.S.P.Q.2d at 1116. The issue raised in this application is whether the original application provides adequate support for the broadly CCR5 receptor polypeptide variants. claimed genus of applicant shows possession of the claimed invention describing the claimed invention with all of its limitations using such descriptive means as words, structures, figures,

fully set forth the claimed formulas that and invention. Lockwood v. American Airlines, Inc., 107 F.3d 1565, 1572, 41 U.S.P.Q.2d 1961, 1966 (Fed. Cir. 1997). The claimed invention as a whole may not be adequately described where an invention is described solely in terms of a method of its making coupled with its function and there is no described or artrecognized correlation or relationship between the structure of the invention and its function. A biomolecule sequence described functional characteristic, without any known disclosed correlation between that function and the structure of sequence, normally is not a sufficient identifying characteristic for written description purposes, accompanied by a method of obtaining the biomolecule of interest. In re Bell, 991 F.2d 781, 26 U.S.P.Q.2d 1529 (Fed. Cir. 1993). In re Deuel, 51 F.3d 1552, 34 U.S.P.Q.2d 1210 (Fed. Cir. 1995). A lack of adequate written description issue also arises if the knowledge and level of skill in the art would not permit one skilled in the art to immediately envisage the product claimed from the disclosed process. See, e.g., Fujikawa v. Wattanasin, 93 F.3d 1559, 1571, 39 U.S.P.Q.2d 1895, 1905 (Fed. Cir. 1995). The court noted in this decision that a "laundry list" disclosure of every possible moiety does not constitute a written description of every species in a genus because it would not reasonably lead those skilled in the art to any particular species.

An applicant may show possession of an invention by disclosure of drawings or structural chemical formulas that are sufficiently detailed to show that applicant was in possession of the claimed invention as a whole. An applicant may also show that an invention is complete by disclosure of sufficiently detailed, relevant identifying characteristics which provide evidence that applicant was in possession of the claimed

invention, i.e., complete or partial structure, other physical and/or chemical properties, functional characteristics when coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or some combination of such characteristics. For biomolecules, examples of identifying characteristics include a nucleotide or amino acid sequence, chemical structure, binding affinity, binding specificity, and molecular weight. The written description requirement may be satisfied through disclosure of function and minimal structure when there is a well-established correlation between structure and function. Without such a correlation, the capability to recognize or understand the structure form the mere recitation of function and minimal structure is highly unlikely. In the latter case, disclosure of function alone is little more than a wish for satisfy the written description possession; it does not requirement. Regents of the University of California v. Eli Lilly, 119 F.3d 1559, 1566, 43 U.S.P.Q.2d 1398, 1404, 1406 (Fed. Cir. 1997), cert. denied, 523 U.S. 1089 (1998). In re Wilder, 736 F.2d 1516, 1521, 222 U.S.P.Q. 369, 372-3 (Fed. Cir. 1984). Factors to be considered in determining whether there sufficient evidence of possession include the level of skill and art, partial structure, physical knowledge in the chemical properties, functional characteristics alone or coupled with a known or disclosed correlation between structure and function, and the method of making the claimed invention.

The claims of the instant application are broadly directed toward a large genus of CCR5 polypeptide derivatives. The disclosure provides CCR5 polypeptides having the recited amino acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOs.: 12-15. However, the disclosure fails to disclose the isolation and purification of polypeptide variants of these sequences carrying up to 6 conservative or neutral amino acid substitutions. The disclosure

fails to set forth the molecular determinants modulating the properties of any given polypeptide. Moreover, it has been wellart that single amino in the prior documented substitutions, additions, or deletions can have unpredictable effects on peptide activity. Nothing in the disclosure leads the particular polypeptide artisan to any Accordingly, the skilled artisan would reasonably conclude that applicants were not in possession of the claimed invention.

35 U.S.C. § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (e) the invention was described in -
 - (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or
 - (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

Claims 21 and 71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Samson et al. (2002). This teaching discloses a polypeptide comprising the sequence SQY...ILG (see SEQ ID NO.: 11) that comprises less than 100 amino acid residues that are identical to the CCR5 chemokine receptor.

35 U.S.C. § 103(a)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) Claim 79 unpatentable over Samson et al. (2002). This teaching discloses a polypeptide comprising the sequence SQY...ILG (see SEQ ID NO.: 11) that comprises less than 100 amino acid residues that are identical to the CCR5 chemokine receptor. This teaching does not specifically provide a composition comprising a polypeptide and a carrier. However, this teaching clearly states that disclosed polypeptides can be included in a pharmaceutical composition comprising a carrier (see bridging paragraph, cols. 4 and 5). Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to prepare a composition comprising the polypeptide of interest and a carrier since this would facilitate delivery of the polypeptide and retention of polypeptide activity.

Allowable Subject Matter

The specific amino acid sequences set forth in SEQ ID NOS .:

12, 14, and 15 appear to be free of the prior art. Appropriately drafted claim language, as supported by the disclosure, encompassing these claims would be acceptable (i.e., An isolated and purified polypeptide consisting of SEQ ID NO.: 12).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-0908. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:30 AM to 7:00 PM. A message may be left on the examiner's voice mail service. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James C. Housel, can be reached at (571) 272-0902. Direct general inquiries to Technology Center 1600 receptionist at (571)272-1600. Formal communications may be submitted through the official facsimile number which is (703) 872-9306. Hand-carried formal communications should be directed toward the customer window located in Crystal Plaza Two, 2011 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA. Applicants are directed toward the O.G. Notice for further 681. Informal communications quidance. 1280 0.G. submitted to the Examiner's RightFAX account at (571) 273-0908.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully,

Jeffrey S. Parkin, Ph.D.

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1648

12 November, 2004

	10 084, 813 Application No.:
NOTICE TO	COMPLY WITH REQUIREMENTS FOR PATENT APPLICATIONS CONTAINING DE SEQUENCE AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE DISCLOSURES
The nucleo comply with following re	tide and/or amino acid sequence disclosure contained in this application does not the requirements for such a disclosure as set forth in 37 C.F.R. 1.821 - 1.825 for the ason(s):
	This application clearly fails to comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.821-1.825. Applicant's attention is directed to these regulations, published at 1114 OG 29, May 15, 1990 and at 55 FR 18230, May 1, 1990.
	2. This application does not contain, as a separate part of the disclosure on paper copy, a "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(c).
	3. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has not been submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
4	4. A copy of the "Sequence Listing" in computer readable form has been submitted. However, the content of the computer readable form does not comply with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. 1.822 and/or 1.823, as indicated on the attached copy of the marked -up "Raw Sequence Listing."
	5. The computer readable form that has been filed with this application has been found to be damaged and/or unreadable as indicated on the attached CRF Diskette Problem Report. A Substitute computer readable form must be submitted as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.825(d).
· [_]	5. The paper copy of the "Sequence Listing" is not the same as the computer readable from of the "Sequence Listing" as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e).
	7. Other: see 92 - ffin al.
	May Need Co licant Must Provide: An initial or substitute computer readable form (CRF) copy of the "Sequence Listing".
粒	An initial or substitute paper copy of the "Sequence Listing", as well as an amendment directing its entry into the specification.
	A statement that the content of the paper and computer readable copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter, as required by 37 C.F.R. 1.821(e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.825(d).

For questions regarding compliance to these requirements, please contact:

For Rules Interpretation, call (703) 308-4216

For CRF Submission Help, call (703) 308-4212

For Patentin software help, call (703) 308-6856

PLEASE RETURN A COPY OF THIS NOTICE WITH YOUR RESPONSE